Identifying the Most Cost-Effective Way to Large-Scale Vaccination in Rural Bangladesh

Presented by

Diwakar Mohan Johns Hopkins University



On behalf of

Dinesh Arora, Piyush Bhutani, Armand Sim, Hyuncheol Bryant Kim, Debayan Pakarashi, Shafiun Shimul, Asad Islam

> Slide credits – Asad Islam, Director CDES & Professor of Economics, Monash University

Background & Motivation

Vaccination has emerged as a champion and the most cost-effective public health strategy to bring the COVID-19 pandemic to an end.

Watson et al. (2022) reported that the COVID-19 vaccination has prevented 20 million excess deaths globally

Despite massive investments and efforts, herd immunity has not yet been achieved in the majority of countries, particularly in the lowand middle-income ones.

The situation in Bangladesh is no exception

Problem Statement

While the first and the second doses of COVID-19 vaccination in Bangladesh were **reasonably high** (as of July 2022, they were **78%** and 72%, respectively), they have stagnated since April 2022, indicating the need for large-scale vaccination. However, instead of investing ulation, the **barriers to** vaccinablindly in public health initiatives across the poption and the effectiveness of different **policies** need to be first assessed.

Literature Gap

<u>Global supply chain</u> failures and <u>vaccine</u> <u>hesitancy</u> are two widely mentioned causes of inequalities in vaccine coverage (Reza et al., 2022).

The three broad approaches to promote vaccine take-up that has emerged from the literature:

(1) Financial incentives
(2) Information diffusion & Nudging
(3) Non-financial incentives (e.g., vaccine passes; granting freedom to travel restrictions, accessibility to vaccination centres). Global initiatives and policy focuses on demand-side issues like hesitancy with little attention on supply and internal distribution challenges such as access. Vaccine hesitancy is also generally pronounced in developed counties in developing countries (Solis Arce et al., 2021), such as Bangladesh.

Objective

- Identify barriers to vaccination among those unvaccinated
- Understand how best to promote COVID-19 vaccine takeup among these unvaccinated individuals in a most effective way

The proposed interventions in this study are all **low-cost**. If any of them are successful, some or all of them can be scaled up and used by policymakers in devising effective strategies to increase vaccination rates.

Interventions 3 Treatment arms and 1 control arm is proposed

Information	Information +	Information +	Control
Campaign Only	Accessibility	Ambassador	
 Information 	 Individuals 	 Participants 	 Participants
about	are given	receive	receive no
misconceptions	information	information and	treatment
regarding	and free	encouragement	
COVID-19	assistance	about	
• Available	related to	vaccination from	
vaccines	accessing	prominent locals	
• Distribution of	vaccines.	(vaccine	
infection and		ambassadors)	

Theory of Change



Consort Flow Diagram

Two-step randomization: (1) Village-level (2) Individual-level

Our final sample comprises 12,303 individuals from 730 communities (rural and urban) spread across four districts in **Bangladesh (with about** 13 individuals per community on average). These villages are randomized into three treatments and one control arm





Our final sample comprises 12,303 individuals from 730 communities (rural and urban) spread across four districts in Bangladesh (with about 13 individuals per community on average). These villages are randomized into three treatments and one pure control arm

Project Timeline



The baseline survey, which was finished in mid-June 2022, and the endline survey was conducted between September-November 2022, serve as the primary data sources in this study.

Outcome Variables

Primary

Vaccine uptake (1st dose)

Defined as at least one

dose within 30 days of

enrollment

Vaccine completion (2nd

dose)

- Defined as two doses

within 60 days of

enrollment

<u>(Based on</u> vaccine cards

Secondary

Vaccination status

of others in

household and

immediate

neighborhood - to

identify any potential spillover effects of the

intervention

Other outcomes

Self reported

(1) Health

(2) Satisfaction in Life

(3) Wellbeing

Baseline statistics & Sample Balance

			Difference between Groups (p-value)								
	N	Mean	Control =	Control =	Control =	Info only =	Info only =	Info+Ambassador =			
		(pooled)	Information only	Info+Ambassador	Info+Accessibility	Info+Ambassador	Info+Accessibility	Info+Accessibility			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)			
Panel A: Individual characteristics											
Male	9,090	0.30	0.813	0.188	0.111	0.273	0.165	0.736			
Married	9,090	0.86	0.212	0.268	0.121	0.817	0.799	0.596			
Muslim	9,090	0.89	0.777	0.348	0.791	0.502	0.554	0.175			
Rural	9,090	0.97	0.732	0.529	0.714	0.836	0.524	0.329			
Joint family	9,090	0.43	0.977	0.793	0.479	0.758	0.436	0.615			
Own house	9,090	0.97	0.564	0.795	0.903	0.308	0.597	0.631			
Received government assistance	9,090	0.12	0.538	0.207	0.059	0.526	0.187	0.430			
Age	9,090	27.58	0.423	0.366	0.052	0.946	0.241	0.235			
Have secondary education	9,090	0.31	0.123	0.183	0.203	0.715	0.635	0.911			
Employed	9,090	0.22	0.979	0.697	0.960	0.707	0.934	0.597			
High monthly income household	9,090	0.93	0.415	0.757	0.517	0.591	0.840	0.725			
Follow COVID-19 protocols (index)	9,090	1.18	0.364	0.170	0.829	0.705	0.238	0.086			
Joint-Test Prob > F			0.799	0.559	0.259	0.898	0.736	0.548			
Panel B: Village characteristics											
Proportion of muslims	685	0.75	0.314	0.181	0.412	0.816	0.779	0.563			
Nearest distance to COVID-19 vaccine centers (in km)	685	2.69	0.181	0.385	0.465	0.546	0.407	0.836			
Nearest distance to community clinic (in km)	685	2.33	0.916	0.985	0.941	0.919	0.849	0.911			
Nearest distance to railway station (in km)	685	63.47	0.780	0.503	0.356	0.704	0.508	0.730			
Nearest distance to secondary school (in km)	685	3.95	0.857	0.746	0.742	0.908	0.907	0.998			
Nearest distance to college (in km)	685	6.11	0.694	0.539	0.700	0.872	0.938	0.772			
Nearest distance to post office (in km)	685	2.13	0.330	0.808	0.864	0.232	0.402	0.670			
Nearest distance to bank (in km)	685	4.37	0.656	0.605	0.659	0.957	0.317	0.259			
Nearest distance to police station (in km)	685	10.36	0.993	0.979	0.593	0.987	0.594	0.560			
Nearest distance to hospital/doctor (in km)	685	2.79	0.979	0.746	0.730	0.776	0.758	0.963			
Proportion of poor families	685	0.27	0.051	0.526	0.159	0.126	0.471	0.374			
Proportion of landless households	685	0.22	0.281	0.537	0.536	0.545	0.556	0.992			
Village head lives in the village	685	0.93	0.821	0.859	0.733	0.673	0.559	0.853			
Number of families in the village	685	730.94	0.987	0.957	0.588	0.971	0.581	0.553			
Joint-Test Prob > F			0.607	0.974	0.950	0.950	0.958	0.993			

Note: Variable Follow COVID-19 protocols (index) has the maximum value of 8. Column 1 reports total number of observations. Column 2 reports average value of each variable for the whole sample (pooled). Columns 3 to 8 report p-values of the coefficient from regressing each baseline variable on treatment group indicators. Robust standard errors are clustered at village level. Joint Orthogonality Test Prob > F refers to the p-value of F-test of a regression of treatment indicators being compared on all baseline variables (separately for individual and village characteristics) reported in this table. This test provides an overall evaluation of the balance between groups across all baseline variables. *, **, and *** denote statistical significance at 10 %, 5 %, and 1 % levels, respectively.

Treatment Effects on Vaccination Status

					Compliance to COVID-19	Knowledge & Beliefs on COVID-
	Vaccine U	ptake	Registered	Intention	Protocols(index)	19(index)
	First	Second				
	dose	dose		~~~		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Information Only	0.160***	0.085***	0.021*	0.012	-0.288***	0.298***
	(0.017)	(0.011)	(0.012)	(0.017)	(0.072)	(0.063)
Information+Ambassador	0.178***	0.120***	0.037***	0.016	-0.319***	0.255***
	(0.017)	(0.013)	(0.012)	(0.014)	(0.070)	(0.058)
Information+Accessibility	0.375***	0.106***	0.081***	-0.009	-0.353***	0.304***
	(0.015)	(0.010)	(0.014)	(0.017)	(0.070)	(0.057)
Constant	0.127***	-0.008	0.080*	1.178***	0.120	0.206*
	(0.048)	(0.032)	(0.041)	(0.045)	(0.143)	(0.120)
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Upazila_ID FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
P-value (T1=T2)	0.374	0.023	0.234	0.799	0.547	0.390
P-value (T2=T3)	0.000	0.293	0.003	0.111	0.496	0.259
P-value (T1=T3)	0.000	0.112	0.000	0.252	0.223	0.896
P-value (T1=T2=T3)	0.000	0.0674	0.000	0.270	0.474	0.486
Observations	8,827	8,827	4,565	4,194	8,827	8,827
R-squared	0.111	0.056	0.024	0.141	0.099	0.073

Note: Dependent variables (in column 1-4) are vaccination decision dummies: in column (1) and (2) it equals to 1 if the respondent took the first and second dose of COVID-19 vaccination, respectively, and 0 otherwise; in column (3) it equals to 1 if the respondent is a direct beneficiary of the treatment and has registered for vaccination but has not been vaccinated yet, and 0 otherwise; in column (4) it equals to 1 if the respondent is a direct beneficiary of the treatment and has neither registered for nor been vaccinated but has intention to get vaccinated, and 0 otherwise; Compliance to COVID-19 Protocols (in column 5) and Knowledge & Beliefs on COVID-19 and Vaccines (in column 6) are standardized indexes, such that the control group has mean 0 and standard deviation 1; Controls include individual covariates such as age, indicators for being male, being married, living in rural areas, living in joint family, government assistance beneficiary, completed secondary-level education, living in a high monthly income household and being employed. The Upazilla_ID fixed effects are used as indicated. Robust standard errors in parentheses, clustered at village level. *, **, and *** denote statistical significance at 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

"Information+ Accessibility" arm exhibits the highest treatment effect on

Vaccine Uptake (First and Second Dose)



Spillover Treatment Effects

		Spillove	er effect:		Spillover effect:			
		on Panny a	and Pricilus		on maneet beneficialles			
-	Share of	Share of	Share of Neighbours Vaccinated	Share of Friends	Vaccine	Vaccine		
	Males	Females			Uptake(First	Uptake(Second		
-	Vaccinated	Vaccinated		Vaccinated	dose)	dose)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
Information Only	0.032***	0.069***	0.052	0.096***	0.119***	0.070***		
	(0.012)	(0.015)	(0.036)	(0.034)	(0.016)	(0.013)		
Information+Ambassador	0.041***	0.080***	0.042	0.083***	0.177***	0.115***		
	(0.013)	(0.015)	(0.035)	(0.032)	(0.018)	(0.014)		
Information+Accessibility	0.056***	0.167***	0.027	0.114***	0.112***	0.056***		
	(0.012)	(0.014)	(0.035)	(0.032)	(0.016)	(0.011)		
Constant	0.840***	0.541***	0.618***	0.437***	-0.044	-0.040		
	(0.044)	(0.046)	(0.072)	(0.064)	(0.058)	(0.047)		
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Upazila_ID FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Observations	8,827	8,827	8,827	8,827	3,433	3,433		
R-squared	0.184	0.162	0.159	0.075	0.047	0.037		

Note: Dependent variables (in column 1-4) depicts spillover treatment effects on Family and Friends. Column (1) and (2) represents the share of males and females, respectively, in the respondent's household who got vaccinated; in column (3), it equals to 1 if any of the respondent's neighbours took up vaccination, and 0 otherwise; in column (4), it equals to 1 if any of the three closest friends of the respondent got vaccination, and 0 otherwise; in column (5) and (6) spillover effect among those who are not direct beneficiary of the treatment is depicted. Indirect beneficiaries are those who live in the same village as the respondent but is not part of the respondent's family and friends' network. It equals to 1 if an indirect beneficiary took the first (column 5) and second dose (column 6) of COVID-19 vaccination, respectively, and 0 otherwise; Controls include individual covariates such as age, indicators for being male, being married, living in rural areas, living in joint family, government assistance beneficiary, completed secondary-level education, living in a high monthly income household and being employed. The Upazilla_ID fixed effects are used as indicated. Robust standard errors in parentheses, clustered at village level. *, **, and *** denote statistical significance at 10%, 5%, and 1 % levels, respectively.

"Information+ Ambassador" arm exhibits the highest spillover effects on others in the same village

"Information+ Accessibility" arm exhibits the highest spillover effects on Friends & Family members

Treatment Effects on Health and Wellbeing

		Heal	th		Sa	tisfaction in Life	Wellbeing		
	Perceived Stress (Index)	Patient Health (Index)	Mental Health (Index)	Physical Health (Index)	Life satisfaction (Index)	Happiness (Index)	Ladder (Index)	Certainty (Index)	Hopelessness (Index)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Information Only	-0.119*	-0.161***	0.228***	0.190***	0.124*	0.141*	0.059	0.094	0.052
	(0.070)	(0.053)	(0.053)	(0.052)	(0.068)	(0.084)	(0.069)	(0.079)	(0.080)
Information+Ambassador	-0.119*	-0.143***	0.215***	0.208***	0.157***	0.155**	0.099	0.133*	0.098
	(0.064)	(0.050)	(0.049)	(0.048)	(0.059)	(0.070)	(0.063)	(0.070)	(0.071)
Information+Accessibility	-0.129**	-0.155***	0.196***	0.190***	0.169***	0.157**	0.159***	0.180**	0.145**
	(0.065)	(0.052)	(0.047)	(0.046)	(0.058)	(0.074)	(0.061)	(0.074)	(0.073)
Constant	0.393***	0.175	-0.244*	-0.190	-0.372**	0.055	0.834***	-0.160	-0.136
	(0.152)	(0.111)	(0.142)	(0.140)	(0.183)	(0.156)	(0.145)	(0.159)	(0.143)
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Upazila_ID FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	0.005	0.005						0.005	
Observations	8,827	8,827	8,827	8,827	8,827	8,827	8,827	8,827	8,827
R-squared	0.058	0.088	0.045	0.053	0.046	0.122	0.036	0.076	0.037

Note: Column 1-4, depicts treatment effects on Health outcomes of an individual which includes indexes such as Perceived Stress, Patient Health, Mental Health and Physical Health. An individual's satisfaction in life is shows in column 5-7. It includes Life satisfaction index, Happiness in Life index and Ladder of life possibility index. Column 8 and 9, depicts treatment effects on Wellbeing of an individual which includes Certainty about future index and Hopelessness about future index. All the outcome variables are standardized indexes, such that the control group has mean 0 and standard deviation 1. Controls include individual covariates such as age, indicators for being male, being married, living in rural areas, living in joint family, government assistance beneficiary, completed secondary-level education, living in a high monthly income household and being employed. The Upazilla ID fixed effects are used as indicated. Robust standard errors in parentheses, clustered at village level. *, **, and *** denote statistical significance at 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

Heterogenous Treatment Effect on Vaccination Status

	Vaccine Uptake (First Dose)					Vaccine Uptake (Second Dose)						
	Gender		Level of	Education	Househo	old Income	Ge	Gender		Level of Education		10ld Income
	Male	Female	High	Low	High	Low	Male	Female	High	Low	High	Low
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
T1: Information Only	0.183***	0.148***	0.154***	0.164***	0.159***	0.131***	0.093***	0.081***	0.105***	0.076***	0.087***	0.039
	(0.025)	(0.019)	(0.024)	(0.018)	(0.017)	(0.047)	(0.017)	(0.014)	(0.019)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.033)
T 2:Information+Ambassador	0.188***	0.172***	0.158***	0.185***	0.172***	0.211***	0.130***	0.116***	0.113***	0.122***	0.119***	0.088**
	(0.025)	(0.018)	(0.024)	(0.018)	(0.017)	(0.055)	(0.019)	(0.014)	(0.017)	(0.014)	(0.013)	(0.042)
T3:Information+Accessibility	0.373***	0.373***	0.363***	0.379***	0.369***	0.437***	0.101***	0.106***	0.129***	0.094***	0.103***	0.139***
	(0.024)	(0.016)	(0.023)	(0.017)	(0.015)	(0.062)	(0.016)	(0.011)	(0.016)	(0.011)	(0.010)	(0.041)
Constant	0.118	0.099*	0.057	0.159***	0.125**	0.230*	-0.010	-0.014	-0.002	-0.011	-0.007	-0.060
	(0.091)	(0.057)	(0.091)	(0.053)	(0.051)	(0.130)	(0.054)	(0.040)	(0.059)	(0.038)	(0.034)	(0.068)
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Upazila_ID FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
P-value (T1=T2)	0.870	0.287	0.873	0.363	0.564	0.177	0.121	0.042	0.711	0.007	0.039	0.310
P-value (T2=T3)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.193	0.499	0.428	0.067	0.234	0.341
P-value (T1=T2=T3)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.266	0.103	0.515	0.026	0.119	0.126
Observations	2,606	6,221	2,759	6,068	8,247	580	2,606	6,221	2,759	6,068	8,247	580
R-squared	0.117	0.113	0.118	0.114	0.108	0.222	0.067	0.056	0.067	0.057	0.054	0.156

Note: Dependent variables (in column 1-5) and (in column 7-12) are vaccination decision dummies that is equals to 1 if the respondent took the first and second dose of COVID-19 vaccination, respectively, and 0 otherwise. Four subgroups are analyzed for treatment effects where Gender equals to 1 if Male, and 0 if Female; Level of Education equals to 1(High) if respondent possesses high school education or more, and 0 (Low) otherwise; Household Income equals to 1 (High) if respondent's household income level is above poverty line, and 0 (low) otherwise. Controls include individual covariates such as age, indicators for being male, being married, living in rural areas, living in joint family, government assistance beneficiary, completed secondary-level education, living in a high monthly income household and being employed. The Upazilla_ID fixed effects are used as indicated. Robust standard errors in parentheses, clustered at village level. *, **, and *** denote statistical significance at 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

Incremental cost per person vaccinated

Improving accessibility - highly cost-effective for the first dose



Thank You